IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4355 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH
===
1.   Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed
        to see the judgements?

2.   To be referred to the Reporter or not?

3.   Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy
        of the judgement?

4.   Whether this case involves a substantial question
        of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
        of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5.   Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

K R EDUCATION AND CHARITABLE TRUST
Versus
    STATE OF GUJARAT

    Appearance:
        MR NC SHAH for Petitioner
        MR KC SHAH, AGP for Respondents.

    CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH
    Date of decision: 01/10/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

     Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. K.C. Shah,
    Ld. AGP for the respondents.

    2. The impugned decision dated 31/1/1996 Annexure-O
    is rendered by the Deputy Director of Education
    (Vocational). The grievance of the petitioner is that the
    report of hearing of 26/7/1995 appears to have not been

considered. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would make a detailed representation dealing with the grounds of rejection.

3. Having heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and Ld. AGP for the State and bearing in mind the facts and circumstances of the case, following direction is required to be issued :-

The petitioner is directed to make fresh representation to the appropriate authority dealing with the grounds of rejection. As and when such a representation is made, the same shall be considered afresh in accordance with law and the rules without being influenced by the impugned order of rejection of permission of one class of office management in Standard XI (vocational stream), as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of writ of this order.

In view of the aforesaid direction, nothing further survives in this petition. Hence, rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent only. No order as to cost.

   * * *